

Girl Scouts of Western Ohio
4930 Cornell Road
Cincinnati, OH 45242-1804
513-489-1025 or 1-800-537-6241
Fax: 513-489-1417
www.girlscoutsofwesternohio.org

Date: September 10, 2009
To: Board of Directors
From: Nancy C. Dawes, Chair
Subject: Board Monitoring of Executive Limitations

According to the board's monitoring schedule, Executive Limitations 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 are to be monitored at the September board meeting. Attached is a copy of the "Policy Governance Executive Limitations Evaluation." You are asked to take a few minutes to review the report on each of these policies and to complete the monitoring tool. We will collect these forms at the September board meeting, compile the results, and provide a summary report to all board members. We can briefly discuss any action that is needed at a future board meeting.

Our Mission

*Girl Scouting builds girls of courage, confidence, and character,
who make the world a better place.*



Policy Governance Executive Limitations Evaluation Board Meeting: September 19, 2009

Policies being monitored: 2.4 Financial Planning/Budgeting; 2.5 Financial Condition and Activities; 2.6 Asset Protection

Name: _____

Question	Policy 2.4 Financial Planning/Budgeting	Policy 2.5 Financial Condition and Activities	Policy 2.6 Asset Protection
Was this report submitted when due?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Did the report lay out the CEO's interpretation or an operational definition of the policy?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the interpretation justified or is proof provided to explain why the interpretation is reasonable?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Was I convinced that the interpretation is justified and reasonable?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Did the interpretation address all aspects of this policy?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the data show compliance with the CEO's interpretation of our policy?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the CEO is in compliance <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, although the CEO is not in compliance, there was sufficient data to explain why <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the CEO is in compliance <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, although the CEO is not in compliance, there was sufficient data to explain why <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the CEO is in compliance <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, although the CEO is not in compliance, there was sufficient data to explain why <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there any areas regarding these policies that you worry about that are not clearly addressed in existing policy? What is the value that drives your worry?			
What policy language would you like to see incorporated to address your worry?			