

# MEMO

---

**Date:** April 5, 2011  
**To:** Board of Directors  
**From:** Nancy Dawes, Board Chair  
**Subject:** Monitoring Report Summary

---

The attached are summaries of board responses to the monitoring reports submitted recently for the following policies:

- 3.0 Global Governance Commitment
- 3.2 Board Job Description
- 3.3 Board Member Code of Conduct
- 3.4 Agenda Planning
- 3.5 Board Chair Role
- 3.6 Board Committee Principles
- 3.7 Board Committee Structure
- 3.8 Cost of Governance

We will briefly discuss the summaries at the April board meeting.

## Board Compliance Monitoring Results April 2011

**Policies Being Monitored:** 3.0 – Global Governance Commitment; 3.2 – Board Job Description; 3.3 – Board Member Code of Conduct; 3.4 – Agenda Planning; 3.5 – Board Chair (Chief Governance Officer) Role; 3.6 – Board Committee Principles; 3.7 – Board Committee Structure; 3.8 – Cost of Governance

**Surveys Completed:** 15

1. Are we in strict compliance with the policy as stated?

3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8
Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0	Yes = 15 No = 0

2. If you indicated that the Board is not in strict compliance with the policy as stated, please indicate what you notice that gives evidence that we are *not* in compliance?

3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8
No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses	No responses

3. How do you think we could improve our process to be in full compliance?

3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8
There are times when we have conversation that is more operational. These conversations usually get confronted, but we need to be diligent about not having them.	More ongoing "linkage" with our members - this is intended to be addressed by the communication/linkage task force.	No responses	<p>First, I believe that we are in compliance, but we should always strive for continuous improvement. In 2009-10 our education and ownership linkage was focused on learning what the girl's needs were. In 2010-11 we have focused on GSUSA, and external drivers. I recommend that in the next year or two that we take what we learned from outside and GSUSA drivers and apply to learning how this could affect GS success with goals for girls.</p> <p>We could become even more intentional about the focus of learning -however, this past year (and next) are focus on ensuring the appropriate change in CEO leadership.</p>	No responses	I think GS of Western OH uses task groups/committees effectively.	No responses	No responses

